IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

JIMMY LEE CLAYPOOL,	S	
	S	1:19-cv-00867-RP
V.	S	
STEADFAST INSURANCE CO.	S	

AGREED SCHEDULING ORDER

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, the following Scheduling Order is issued by the Court:

- 1. A report on alternative dispute resolution in compliance with Local Rule CV-88 shall be filed on or before **February 21, 2020**.
- 2. The parties asserting claims for relief shall submit a written offer of settlement to opposing parties on or before **December 20, 2019**, and each opposing party shall respond, in writing, on or before **January 31, 2020**. All offers of settlement are to be private, not filed. The parties are ordered to retain the written offers of settlement and responses so the Court may use them in assessing attorney's fees and costs at the conclusion of the trial.
- 3. Each party shall complete and file the attached "Notice Concerning Reference to United States Magistrate Judge" on or before **December 6, 2019**.
- 4. The parties shall file all motions to amend or supplement pleadings or to join additional parties on or before **June 10, 2020**.
- 5. All parties asserting claims for relief shall file their designation of testifying experts and serve on all parties, but not file, the materials required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) on or before **June 10, 2020**. Parties resisting claims for relief shall file their designation of testifying experts and serve on all parties, but not file, the

materials required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) on or before **July 10**, **2020**. All parties shall file all designations of rebuttal experts and serve on all parties the material required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) for such rebuttal experts, to the extent not already served, 15 days from the receipt of the report of the opposing expert.

- 6. An objection to the reliability of an expert's proposed testimony under Federal Rule of
 Evidence 702 shall be made by motion, specifically stating the basis for the objection and
 identifying the objectionable testimony, within **30 days** from the receipt of the written report
 of the expert's proposed testimony, or within **30 days** from the completion of the expert's
 deposition, if a deposition is taken, whichever is later.
- 7. The parties shall complete all discovery on or before **September 18, 2020**.
- 8. All dispositive motions shall be filed on or before **October 2, 2020**, and shall be limited to 20 pages. Responses shall be filed and served on all other parties not later than 14 days after the service of the motion and shall be limited to 20 pages. Any replies shall be filed and served on all other parties not later than 7 days after the service of the response and shall be limited to 10 pages, but the Court need not wait for the reply before ruling on the motion.
- 9. The Court will set this case for final pretrial conference at a later time. The final pretrial conference shall be attended by at least one of the attorneys who will conduct the trial for each of the parties and by any unrepresented parties. The parties should consult Local Rule CV-16(e) regarding matters to be filed in advance of the final pretrial conference.

This case is set for	Jury trial c	ommencing at 9:0	00 a.m. on
Jar	nuary 19	, 20_2	1
By filing an agreed me	otion, the parties may	request that this (Court extend any deadline set in
this Order, with the e	xception of the dispos	itive motions dea	dline and the trial date. The
Court may impose sar	nctions under Federal	Rule of Civil Prod	cedure 16(f) if the parties do no
make timely submissi	ons under this Order.		
SIGNED on	January	17	
		<u> </u>	
		Reen	<u> </u>
	ROB	BERT PITMAN	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

NOTICE CONCERNING REFERENCE TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.	C. § 626(c), Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 73
and the Local Rules of the United States District Cou	urt for the Western District of Texas, the
following party	
through counsel	
consents to having a United States Magis	strate Judge preside over the trial in this case.
declines to consent to trial before a Unit	ted States Magistrate Judge.
	Respectfully submitted,
	Attorney for: